Module Code	Question	Definitely agree	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly disagree	Definitely disagree
ELEC50001	1. The module was well structured	80% (16)	15% (3)	0% (0)	5% (1)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	2. The module was intellectually stimulating	95% (19)	5% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	3. Methods of assessment allow me to show what I have learned on the module	60% (12)	30% (6)	5% (1)	0% (0)	5% (1)
ELEC50001	4. Feedback throughout the module helped me to develop and improve my learning	40% (8)	30% (6)	15% (3)	15% (3)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	5. Teaching staff were approachable	80% (16)	10% (2)	5% (1)	5% (1)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	6. There were appropriate opportunities to interact and collaborate with fellow students within the module	85% (17)	15% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	7. I was able to access the materials for the module to support my learning	70% (14)	30% (6)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	8. I had sufficient access to academic support and learning resources for the module	65% (13)	25% (5)	0% (0)	5% (1)	5% (1)
ELEC50001	9. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching on this module	80% (16)	20% (4)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)
ELEC50001	10. Overall, I am satisfied with the content on this module	75% (15)	25% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)

Question	Definitely agree	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly disagree	Definitely disagree	CAS
1. The module was well structured	16	3	0	1	0	4.70
2. The module was intellectually stimulating	19	1	0	0	0	4.95
3. Methods of assessment allow me to show what I have learned on the module	12	6	1	0	1	4.40
4. Feedback throughout the module helped me to develop and improve my learning	8	6	3	3	0	3.95
5. Teaching staff were approachable	16	2	1	1	0	4.65
6. There were appropriate opportunities to interact and collaborate with fellow students within the module	17	3	0	0	0	4.85
7. I was able to access the materials for the module to support my learning	14	6	0	0	0	4.70
8. I had sufficient access to academic support and learning resources for the module	13	5	0	1	1	4.40
9. Overall, I am satisfied with the teaching on this module	16	4	0	0	0	4.80
10. Overall, I am satisfied with the content on this module	15	5	0	0	0	4.75
Total:	146	41	5	6	2	4.62

Peter Cheung is such a legend! This must be my favourite module so far at Imperial. The delivery, clear structure and Peter's wittiness made this module unforgettable absolute chef's kiss. Such a shame that we can't have Peter for longer - time feels like it has flown by.

Excellent module, the lectures and labs are well synchronized and allow exploration of each section of the module both theoretically and practically. The lecturer attends all lab sessions and problem classes, working through problems with the lab tasks. The lectures are well structured and engaging. Additionally all resources are provided in a timely manner and are of high quality.

Prof Cheung was amazing as was his module. A few of the lab oral assessors were seemingly hostile to different students, with their explanations for why things were incorrect, were actually wrong themselves, and not making sense.

In the labs, the only help was from the professor, so there was a considerable wait to get help. The labs also, despite being constructive, took a significant amount of time to complete and hindered the study of other lessons. Also, because the course is project based, there was an i correlation between the problem sheets and the course content, making the study of the material and the study for the exams harder. The labs could be better organized and the lecture could've also described how to approach the practice problems.